
Rubric for Urban Planning Geometry Performance Task

Name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Block:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **DOMAIN** | **4--SUPERIOR** | **3--PROFICIENT** | **2--EMERGING** | **1--NOVICE** | **0–NHI** |
| **City Codes:**   * Buildings are placed according to “code”   5x | You exceed the requirements of the city codes by including a sophisticated and detailed “key” which identifies the different angles and buildings. All buildings are correctly placed according to “code.” | You meet the requirements of the city codes by correctly placing all buildings according to “code” AND including a correct “key” which identifies the different angles and buildings. | You do not meet the requirements of the city codes as some of your buildings are not placed according to “code.” Your key has a few errors because you did not correctly identify the buildings and angles. | Your plan is rejected by the Planning Commission. Most of your buildings are incorrectly placed. Your key has numerous glaring errors. | You do not have your project complete. |
| **Spaces and Structures:**   * At least five parallel streets * At least two transversal streets * Streets are named * Project is of high quality   2x | You exceed the requirements of spaces and structures. Plan is well-thought out; drawing is clear and correctly labeled. You’re promoted to the head of the agency!  Your presentation is high-quality—neat; professional; and accurate. | You meet the requirements of spaces and structures. Plan is adequate. Most of the drawing is clear and correctly labeled.  Your presentation is acceptable—neat and accurate. | You include most of the requirements. Your plan does not meet all expectations. Some parts of the plan are unclear or incorrectly labeled.  Your presentation falls short of expectations. Neatness and accuracy are a concern. | You do not include enough of the requirements to show evidence of understanding at this point.  Your plan is not of high-quality and could be improved through use of a ruler, computer, or templates. | You do not have your project complete. |
| **Reflection:**   * Explanation of thought process for solution of problem   3x | You connect and summar-ize your reflection and thought processes to pre-vious learning experiences and/or prior knowledge with many details. It is obvious that you examined your thinking during this process.  Your rationale of where to place the buildings shows keen insight and logical thinking.  There is a plethora of evidence of reflection. | You use the reflection to summarize your thought process. You have some precise details in your reflection about the problem and how you approached it.  Your rationale of where to place the buildings shows your effort at logical reasoning.  There is sufficient evidence of reflection. | You include a few vague details about your thought process in your reflection. There is very little about how you approached the problem.  Your rationale of where to place the buildings indicates haphazard guesses rather than logical thinking.  There is little evidence of reflection. | You neglect to include enough details in your reflection to demonstrate your thinking process about the problem.  Your rationale of where to place the buildings is omitted.  There is little evidence of reflection. | Reflection is not turned in with project. |



**Grading scale:** A = 35-40 B = 28-34 C = 21-27 D = 14-20 E = 13 and below